Todd F. Silbergeld Director-Federal Regulatory SBC Communications Inc. 1401 I Street, N.W. Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20005 Phone 202 326-8888 Fax 202 408-4806

Federal Communications commission





October 10, 1996

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

EX PARTE

Mr. William F. Caton Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: <u>Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service</u>
CC Docket No. 96-45

Dear Mr. Caton:

In accordance with the Commission's rules regarding exparte presentations, please be advised that yesterday, Paul Cooper, Bill Blase, and the undersigned, representing Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWBT), met with Dan Gonzalez and Paul Garnett of Commissioner Chong's staff. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss SWBT's stated position in the above-referenced rule making docket.

Written materials, which were used during the presentation, are attached to this letter for inclusion into the official record in this docket. Pursuant to Section 1.1206(a)(1) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206(a)(1), two copies of this letter and the supporting materials are provided for your use.

Should you have any questions concerning the foregoing, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Attachment

cc: Mr. Gonzalez

Mr. Garnett

Voda 7. Sil Jun

No. of Copies reofd 022 List A B C D E

MAINTENANCE AND PROTECTION OF QUALITY UNIVERSAL SERVICE

SWBT

- 1. <u>Local Exchange Network Facilities</u> (loop, local switch, exchange trunks) are the basis for interconnecting customers and provisioning universally available services.
- The <u>Actual (Incurred) Costs</u> of local exchange network facilities, which now provide quality universally available services, and which have been approved by both Federal and State regulators, must be used to define appropriate and sufficient support.
- 3. <u>Universal Service areas</u> should be defined as current exchanges or wire centers.
 - Basis for defining current supported local rate.
- 4. <u>Actual local exchange study area costs should be distributed</u> to Universal Service areas:
 - a) Based on an analysis of actual costs by wire center or exchange, or
 - b) If actual costs data by wire center is not available, using BCM2 wire center relationships.
- 5. <u>Level of support</u> should be based on difference between Universal Service area actual costs and:
 - a) Average wire center local exchange revenues (Attachment 1)¹, or
 - b) 1% of statewide average median income (Attachment 2).
- 6. <u>Support should be jurisdictionalized</u> based on current recovery of local exchange network costs (Attachment 3).
 - Minimizes support funding flows between states and allows states to manage their support requirements.

¹ The average local revenues include vertical service revenues. This revenue further supports reasonably priced residential local rates. This vertical service revenue support has also been placed at substantial risk by the FCC's Interconnection Order. Because SWBT included this revenue as part of the average local exchange revenue benchmark, the vertical service residential support is not included in the support which is calculated. If this implicit vertical service revenue support had instead been excluded from the local service revenue benchmark, SWBT expects that the overall nationwide Universal Service support requirement would increase by \$8B to \$10B.

- Interstate Fund Interstate loop and switching recovery by LEC.
- Intrastate Funds Remainder of support not assigned to the federal fund.
- 7. <u>Support should be funded</u> (jurisdictionally) on a competitively neutral basis, based on a surcharge on retail revenues (interstate or intrastate) (Attachment 3).
- 8. <u>Per line support should be available</u> on a non-discriminatory basis to all eligible (per the Act) and qualified carriers. Qualified carriers receive support:
 - a) Only if the incumbent receives support in the Universal Service area.
 - b) Only if it meets State imposed quality of service criteria.
 - c) Only for the facilities it has placed to serve customers (not for resale or purchase of unbundled elements).
- 9. Adoption of TELRIC pricing for interconnection and elimination of TIC and CCL makes Universal Service reform imperative.
 - The TELRIC costing methodology unseparated unblundled loop adopted in the Interconnection Order effectively causes a jurisdictional cost recovery shift to intrastate.
 - The above plan deals with the interstate and intrastate CCL loop cost recovery support (cost recovery shift to State) put at risk by the Interconnection Order.
 - The RIC or TIC represents legitimate costs which are (1) the 80% of tandem costs that were arbitrarily excluded from transport rates by the FCC and; (2) lower volume, higher cost transport rates to largely rural areas and to non-urban independent telephone companies whose costs were not recovered by the arbitrary high volume transport rates established by the FCC. As SWBT demonstrated in its CC Docket 91-213 filing, the RIC supports allowed maintenance of reasonably priced access to toll in these lower volume, largely non-urban areas. Consequently, in order to preserve reasonably priced largely non-urban access to toll, and as a result of the FCC Interconnection Order, if the RIC is not recovered in access reform then SWBT recommends that 100% of the RIC (interstate and intrastate) be assigned to the respective federal and state Universal Service funds.

- 10. Proxies such as TSLRIC, TELRIC and BCM2 inappropriately define local exchange costs.
 - Use of these proxies provide insufficient support to maintain a universally available network (Attachment 4).
 - Certain of the proxies (i.e.; TSLRIC purposefully and uneconomically understate costs) (Attachment 5).

			Amounts		Per Line/Per Month Amounts				
	Actual	Actual Local	Actual Local	Support	Actual Local	Actual Local			
State Name	Lines	Revenue	Cost	Required*	Revenue	Cost	Required*		
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	<u>(E)</u>	(F=C/B/12)	(G=0/B/12)	(H=E/B/12)		
Alabama	2,072,664	866,853,748	1.077.721.181	234.374.204	34.85	43.33	9,42		
Alaska	334.059	120,062,563	230,027,654	109,970,461	29.95	57.38	27.43		
Arizona	2,164,479	700,932,865	975,637,692	277,503,157	26.99	37.56	10.68		
Arkansas	1,123,227	396,646,333	598,858,251	225,816,636	29.43	44.43	16.75		
California	18,872,440	5,338,363,228	8.048.814.054	2.720.799.433	23.57	35.54	12.01		
Colorado	2,191,528	795,399,525	1,080,344,033	292,505,111	30.25	41.08	11.12		
Connecticut	1,856,765	645,426,488	1.000.889.157	355,491,945	28.97	44.92	15.95		
Delaware	446.623	128,433,400	165,137,670	38,258,676	23.96	30.81	7.14		
District of Colombia	838.869	314,317,223	348,585,582	35,304,526	31.22	34.64	3.51		
Florida	8.580.752	2.840,348,799	4,510,266,707	1.670.891,976	27.58	43.80	16.23		
Georgia	3,791,933	1,514,328,274	2,125,785,837	629,659,318	33.28	46.72	13.84		
Hawaii	664,306	238,660,810	362,775,174	124,464,308	29.94	45.51	15.61		
Idaho	549,518	157,391,126	260.031.714	102,640,588	23.87	39.43	15.57		
Illinois	6,979,818	2,459,994,751	2.609.596.493	288,165,484	29.37	31.16	3.44		
Indiana	2.972.600	942.926.287	1.276.168.550	337.885.002	26.43	35.78	9.47		
lows	1,419,123	405,917,369	547,288,005	148.449.120	23.84	32.14	8.60		
Kansas	1,271,413	395,821,472	606,771,319	222,835,461	25.94	39.77	14.61		
Kentucky	1.754.734	657,927,897	901,009,450	260,118,189	31.25	42.79	12.35		
Louisiana	2,101,558	908,309,658	1,119,314,386	243.455.976	36.02	44.38	9.65		
Maine	699,372	187,677,042	353.698.726	167,750,201	22.36	42.14	19.99		
Maryland	3,005,368	1,122,669,395	1,321,719,051	227,649,142	31.13	36.65	6.31		
Massachusetts	3.807.972	1.395,975,145	1,632,197,849	287,682,216	30.55	35.72	6.30		
Michigan	5,321,861	1.518.815.723	2,250,978,622	738,757,952	23.78	35.72 35.25			
Minnesota			1,065,749,807	262.010.195	27.26	35.25 35.15	11.57 8.64		
Mississippi	2,526,505	826,335,933 479,166,220		200,653,582	35.13				
Missouri	1,136,798 2,692,604	,	673,990,197	499,705,354	39.13 29.50	49.41 42.02	14.71		
Montana		953,075,649	1,357,802,676	86,137,254	29.50 25.40	42.02	15.47		
Nebraska	436,752	133,115,731	219,252,984		29.21		16.44		
Neveda	889,112	311,666,332	447,272,834	149,610,069		41.92	14.02		
New Hampshire	862,067	233,451,712	317,733,173	89,618,539	22.57	30.71	8.66		
New Jersey	665,406	207,232,487	326,514,557	119,282,070	25.95	40.89	14.94		
	5,279,728	1,376,113,096	2,039,699,224	663,586,127	21.72	32.19	10.47		
New Mexico	792,240	280,456,864	416,798,652	141,124,455	29.50	43.84	14.84		
New York	10,483,945	5,270,228,705	5,814,024,150	1,008,489,629	41.89	46.21	8.02		
North Carolina	3,765,655	1,253,682,979	1,844,102,030	592,611,287	27.74	40.81	13.11		
North Dakota	371,697	106,392,816	169,733,479	83,576,071	23.85	38.05	14.25		
Ohio	5,797,159	2,055,741,543	2,616,723,352	596,048,856	29.55	37.62	8.57		
Oklahoma	1,618,224	553,977,100	807,875,733	308,837,035	28.53	41.60	15.90		
Oregon	1,654,772	523,144,957	760,150,039	240,710,698	26.35	38.28	12.12		
Pennsylvania	6,733,990	1,983,970,486	2,773,617,227	806 ,171,010	24.55	34.32	9.98		
Rhode Island	565,7 30	1 92,5 71,380	223,929,757	35,670,200	28.37	32.99	5.25		
South Carolina	1,785,755	703,736, 101	9 86 ,410,088	2 87 ,5 64 ,532	32.84	46.03	13.42		
South Dakota	3 63 ,087	106,132,673	1 66,999 ,481	63,894 ,620	24.36	3 8.3 3	14.66		
Tennessee	2,763,203	9 75,2 74,943	1,3 65, 010,998	401,568,014	29.41	41.17	12.11		
Texas	9,359.007	3,177,416,439	4, 830 ,532,335	1,779,494,486	28.29	43.01	15.84		
Utah	883,407	249,635,740	384,065,803	114,516,899	23.55	34.34	10.80		
Vermont	305,499	109,832,229	172,895,663	65,999, 566	29.96	47.16	18.00		
Virginia	3,673,652	1,292,128,978	1, 693,6 32,013	428,318,816	29.31	38.42	9.72		
Washington	2,958,810	911,951,944	1,386,574,046	479,328,369	25.68	39.05	13.50		
Nest Virginia	849,875	369,004,506	502,396,127	151,531,578	36.18	49.26	14.86		
Misconsin	2,800,330	815,823,429	1,138,458,687	330,957,566	24.28	33.88	9.85		
Myoming	253,725	78,140,411	140,201,968	64,061,557	25.01	46.05	21.04		
Total	145,089,715	49.580,600,508	68,025,864,237	19, 769,5 07,515	28.48	39.07	11.35		

^{*} Support is developed on a wire center specific basis, and may not reflect differences between study area costs and revenues.

Total Industry Universal Service Support Requirement Actual Cost and Revenues Assuming Local Rates Equal 1% State Median Income By Wire Center Estimates Based on 1993 Costs and Assumed Revenues from Publicly Available Data

		Annus	Amounts	Per Line/Per Month Amounts			
	Actual	Estimated Local	Actual Local	Support	Estimated Local		
State Name	Lines	Revenue	Cost	Required*	Revenue	Cost	Required*
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F=C/8/12)	(G=0/8/12)	(H=E/B/12)
Alabama	2.072.664	563,596,795	1,077,721,181	514,122,386	22.66	43.33	20.67
Alaska	334.059	151.569.130	230.027.654	80.755.985	37.81	57.38	20.15
Arizona	2.164.479	677.395.348	975.637.692	299.580.135	25.08	37.56	11.53
Arkansas	1,123,227	287,096,752	598.858.251	311.896.124	21.30	44.43	23.14
California	18.872.440	6.667.255.603	8.048.814.054	1,576,306,609	29.44	35.54	6.96
Colorado	2.191.528	829,186,534	1,080,344,033	262,231,663	31.53	41.08	9.97
Connecticus	1.856,765	763,130,415	1,000,889,157	247.707.988	34.25	44.92	11.12
Delaware	446,623	160,194,738	165,137,670	17,488,402	29.89	30.81	3.26
District of Colombia	838.869	252,667,345	348,685,582	96.018,237	25.10	34.64	9.54
Florida	8.580.752	2.513.473.876	4.510.266.707	1.996.792.831	24.41	43.80	19.39
Georgia	3,791,933	1,193,093,799	2.125.785.837	932.802.208	26.22	46.72	20.50
Hawaii	664,306	280,682,571	382.775.174	95.736.113	35.21	45.51	12.01
Idaho	549,518	173,164,112	250.031.714	89.647.513	26.26	39.43	13.59
Illinois	6,979,818	2,448,240,962	2,609,596,493	300,128,126	29.23	31.16	3.58
Indiana	2.972.600	828,285,264	1,276,168,550	448,233,092	23.22	35.78	12.57
iowa	1,419,123	4 69 .502.653	547.288.005	103,352,180	27.57	32.14	6.07
Kansas	1.271.413	329,694,283	606,771,319	277.959.980	21.61	39.77	18.22
Kentucky	1,754,734	466.618.865	901,009,450	435,179,451	22.16	42.79	20.67
Louisiana	2,101,558		1,119,314,386	579,634,292	21.40	44.38	20.07 22.98
Maine	699.372	539,680,094	353.698.726	144,537,178	25.26	42.14	17.22
Maryland	3.005,368	211,993,641		189,907,748	25.26 32.67	36.65	5.27
Massachusetts		1,178,224,471	1,321,719,051	199,996,014	32.75	35.72	5.27 4.38
Michigan	3,807,972	1.542.228.830	1,632,197,849		33./5 29.40		
Minnesota	5,321,861	1,877,552,561	2.250.978.622	411,931,166		35.25	6.45
Mississioni	2,526,505	850,118,402	1,065,749,807	238,128,765	28.04	35.15	7.85
Missouri	1.136.798	288,792,164	673,990,197	385,198,033	21.17	49.41	28.24
Montana	2,592,604	717,438,974	1,357,802,676	643,306,837	22.20	42.02	19.91
Webraska	436,752	120,700,783	219,252,984	96.559.396	23.03	41.83	18.81
Nevada	889,112	282,737.616	447,272,834	175,282,192	26.50	41.92	16.43
New Hampshire	862.067	309,206,192	317,733,173	48.304,167	29.89	30.71	4.67
	665,406	234,515,691	326,514,557	93,393,727	29.37	40.89	11.70
New Jersey	5,279,728	2,232,057,809	2,039,699,224	78,058,814	35.23	32.19	1.23
New Mexico	792,240	213,144,250	416,798,652	203,654,402	22.42	43.84	21.42
New York	10,483,945	3,343,959,097	5,814,024,150	2,470,749,692	26.58	46.21	19.64
North Carolina	3,765,655	1,134,215,286	1,844,102,030	713,384,912	25.10	40.81	15.79
North Dakota	371,697	105,130,779	169,733,479	64,845,791	23.57	38.05	14.54
Ohio	5,797,159	1,846,974,857	2,516,723,352	778,942,423	26.55	37.62	11.20
Oklahoma	1,618,224	417,692,830	807.875,733	393,020,342	21.51	41.60	20.24
Oregon	1,654,772	520,458,889	760,150,039	244,628,491	26.2 1	38.28	12.32
Pennsylvania	6,733,990	2,159,186,554	2,773,617,227	650,202,244	26.72	34.32	8.05
Rhode Island	566,730	180,648,904	223,929,757	44,525,670	26.61	3 2.99	6.56
South Carolina	1,785,755	532,940,722	9 86 ,410,068	453,769,330	24.87	46.03	21.18
South Dakota	363.087	108,359,684	166,999,481	60,937,369	24.87	3 8.33	13.99
Tennessee	2,763,203	791,491,726	1,3 65,010,996	573,5 19,271	23.87	41.17	17.30
Texas	9,359.007	2,4 99,852,822	4, 830,532,3 35	2,338,596,122	22.26	43.01	20.82
Utah	883,407	315,482,308	364,065,803	60,065,728	29.76	34.34	5.67
Vermont	305,499	109,393,082	172,895,663	6 6 ,165,775	29.84	47.16	18.05
/Irginia	3,673,652	1,382,909,559	1,693,632,013	3 53 ,185,624	31.37	38.42	8.01
Vashington	2.958,810	992,029,817	1,386,574,046	406,740,157	27.94	39.05	11.46
West Virginia	849,875	200,298,540	502,396,127	302,097,587	19.64	49.26	29.82
Misconsin			4 400 450 605	180.442.762	29.49	33.88	5.37
	2,800,330	9 90,98 0,780	1,138,458,687	100,442,702	29.49	J J.66	3.31
Myoming	2,800,330 253,725	9 90,98 0,780 84,0 9 4,614	1,13 8,458,687 1 40,201,968	56,107,354	29.49 27.62	46.05	18.43

^{*} Support is developed on a wire center specific basis, and may not reflect differences between study area costs and revenues.

Estimated Jurisdictional Support

			•	Intrastate	_
	Total	Interstate	State	Retail	Intrastate
State	Support	Support	Support	Revenues*	Surcharge
Alabama	234,374,204	121,930,701	112,443,503	1,157,673,574	0.0971
Alaska	109,970,461	91,700,016	18,270,445	207,735,672	0.0880
Arizona	277,503,157	78,740,488	198,762,669	954,377,921	0.2083
Arkansas	225,816,636	114,743,378	111,073,258	593,718,657	0.1871
California	2,720,799,433	404.281,253	2,316,518,180	9,998,253,180	0.2317
Colorado	292,505,111	53,626,739	238,878,372	1,031,641,840	0.2316
Connecticut	355,491,945	39,916,088	315,575,857	919,061,952	0.3434
Delaware	38.258,676	6,915,000	31,343,676	124,158,178	0.2524
District of Colombia	35,304,526	9,514,000	25,790,526	356,598,444	0.0723
Florida	1,670,891,976	340,819,035	1,330,072,942	4,308,389,012	0.3087
Georgia	629,659,318	195,630,430	434,028,888	1,948,221,307	0.2228
Hawaii	124,464,308	18,700,000	105,764,308	270,937,143	0.3904
Idaho	102,640,588	55.982,955	46,657,633	283,360,866	0.1647
Illinois	288,165,484	120,287,568	167,877,916	2,775,034,067	0.0605
Indiana	337,885,002	69,239,629	268,645,373	1,561,889,944	0.1720
lowa	146,449,120	52,337,414	94,111,706	852,298,620	0.1104
Kansas	222,835,461	71,239,926	151,595,535	802,577,867	0.1889
Kentucky	260,118,189	84,837,312	175,280,878	883,055,775	0.1985
Louisiana	243,455,976	131,213,892	112,242,084	1,151,905,159	0.0974
Maine	167,750,201	36,951,904	130,798,296	355,464,485	0.3680
Maryland	227,649,142	50,452,438	177,196,704	1,314,795.582	0.1348
Massachusetts	287,682,216	75,521,455	212,160,762	1,745,049,142	0.1216
Michigan	738,757,952	100,180,174	638,577,778	2,685,933,266	0.2377
Minnesota	262,010,195	69,219,913	192,790,283	1,315,914,385	0.1465
Mississippi	200,653,582	97,337,604	103,315,978	631,693,253	0.1636
Missouri	499,705,354	201,061,742	298,643,611	1,614,285,920	0.1850
Montana	86,137,254	39,377,589	46,759,664	264,204,043	0.1770
Nebraska	149,610,069	28,062,353	121,547,715	504,203,117	0.2411
Nevada	89,618,539	22,457,135	67,161,403	254,938,777	0.2634
New Hampshire	119,282,070	35,359,094	83,922,976	306,820,919	0.2735
New Jersey	663,586,127	109,944,952	553,641,176	2,135,572,762	0.2592
New Mexico	141,124,455	67,032,659	74,091,796	414,703,652	0.1787
New York	1,008,489,629	280,942,589	727,547,040	6,321,314,193	0.1151
North Carolina	592,611,287	157,175,509	435,435,778	2,054,839,166	0.2119
North Dakota	63,576,071	20,926,440	42,649,631	200,158,218	0.2131
Ohio	596,048,856	96,663,136	499,385,720	2,947,235,551	0.1694
Oklahoma	308,837,035	101,329,941	207,507,095	784,949,960	0.2644
Oregon	240,710,698	68,374,343	172,336,355	901,412,223	0.1912
Pennsylvania	806,171,010	132,786,168	673,384,842	2,978,918.327	0.2261
Rhode Island	35,670,200	11,214,000	24,456,200	216,536,713	0.1129
South Carolina	287,564,532	122,629,882	164,934,651	1,010,337,329	0.1632
South Dakota	63,894,620	18,745,429	45,149,192	184,759,896	0.2444
Tennessee	401,568,014	99,963,956	301,604,058	1,251,733,510	0.2409
Texas	1,779,494,486	413,047,555	1,366,446,931	5,604,719,796	0.2438
Utah	114,516,899	22,973,186	91,543,713	361,458,607	0.2533
Vermont	65,999,566	28,225.517	37,774,049	189,628,232	0.1992
Virginia	428,318,816	83,369,704	344,949,112	1,797,361,608	0.1919
Washington	479,328,369	102,150,580	377,177,788	1,700,617,065	0.2218
West Virginia	151,531,578	94,202,700	57,328,878	483,835,115	0.1185
Wisconsin	330,957,566	78,173,311	252,784,255	1,428,353,431	0.1770
Wyoming	64,061,557	15,123.064	48,938,493	129,963,433	0.3766
- -	,	,		, - - -	
Total	19,769,507,515	4,942,631.846	14,826,875,669	74,272,600,854	0.1996
	•				

Interstate Revenues57,357,848.089Interstate Surcharge Per Dollar of Revenue0.0862

^{*} Wireless revenues not available and not included.

PROXIES ARE INAPPROPRIATE FOR USE IN DETERMINING TOTAL LOCAL EXCHANGE COSTS AND PRODUCE INSUFFICIENT SUPPORT TO MAINTAIN UNIVERSAL SERVICE

LOCAL EXCHANGE COSTS

	ACTUAL		BC34 2	ı		FCC TELRIC *	1		Huttlett TSLRIC *	
State	Total	Total	Cilibranos	% OM	Total	Ciference	% OM	Total	Cilifornico	% DW
	(6)	(b)	(g=0-a)	(d=c/e)	(e)	(1	(guile)	(h)	([(-/ e)
}	1									
labama	1.077,721,1811	1,037,946,245	(39,774 935)	-3.65%	675 <i>2</i> 73.931	(402,447,250)	-37.24%	556,634,644	(521,086,537)	-48.359
anta "	230,027,5541	173,790,374	(58,237,280)	-24 45%	-	-	_	-	_	_
TEDNE	775,637,592!	589,415,677	(106,222,015)	-10.89%	590,902,757	(384,734,925)	-39.43%	534,539,734	(441,097,958)	-45.21
Na/wes	598,858,2511	696,035,538	97,177,237	16.23%	418,918,641	(179,939,610)	-30.05%	239,227,601	(299,630,651)	-50.03
automa.	3.C48,814,0541	5,941,332,878	(2.107.481,175)	-25.18%	4,755,854,880	(3.292,959,174)	-40.91%	3,415,156,742	(4,633,657,311)	-57.57
olorede	1,980,344,0331	869 292 082	(212,051,950)	-19.63%	654,039,616	(426,304,416)	-39.46%	611,436,312	(468.907.721)	-43,40
o/wecticut	1,000,888,1571	753,143,487	(247 745.670)	-24.75%	515,263,693	(485,525,463)	-48.51%	400,189,993	(600,719,164)	-60.02
NAME OF THE PARTY.	:85,137,5701	106,553,741	1,416,071	0.86%	124.018.275	(41,119,295)	-24.90%	22,238,582	(72,901,088)	-44.15
sents of Colombia	348,685,5821	188,823,354	(199.862.228)	-45.85%	208,475,725	(140,209,856)	-40.21%	132,977,515	(215,708,067)	-61.80
onde	4.510.256,707	3.169,750,015	(1,340,516,692)	-29.72%	2,428,008,586	(2.082.257,121)	-46,17%	1,681,920,047	(2.846.246.680)	-63.15
e0/GE	2.125.785.8371	1,637,788,152	(486.017.585)	-22.96%	1,182,628,064	(943,157,773)	-44 37%	355,460,085	(1,270,325,752)	-59.70
	382,775,174	233,685,291		-35.58%	200,646,984	(162,128,190)	-4.69%	933,700,003	(1,270,323,732)	-38,74
200			(129,086,883)		198.222.:33	(81,809,581)	-23.77%	440.000.000	40000000	-12:31
	280,031,7141	284,679,176	24,647,462	9.46%		(681,491,568)		149.620,586	(110,211,127)	-42.31 -49.33
	2.909,596,493 (25.310.550	0.97%	1,928,104,924	• • • • • • •	-28,11%	1,321,698,336	(1,287,398,156)	
diene	1.278, 188,550 (1,350,433,757	74.286,207	5.82%	827,215,128	(448,963,422)	-35, :8%	517,825,184	(658,243,366)	-51.53
NAS	547,288,0051	741,786,836	194,466,831	35.54%	440,041,660	(107,246,345)	-19.60%	328,205,393	(209,082,512)	-38.20
	906,771,3191	583,065,133	(23,708.186)	-3.91%	453,864,406	(192,876,913)	-25,20%	220,701,190	(206,070, 128)	-47.1 5
entucity	901,009,4501	983,283,835	52.274.385	5.80%	560,111,093	(349,888,357)	-37.54%	445,335,457	(435,663,963)	-48.35
XIIII C	1,119,314,3861	986,118,961	(121,195,405)	-10.83%	677,878,548	(441,435,838)	-35,44%	498,077,994	(690,236,392)	-55.41
laine	353,696,7251	384,014,838	30,316,112	8.57%	239,940,546	(113,758,180)	-32,16%	190,089,310	(183,609,416)	442
erytens	1.221,719,051	1,017,207,173	(304,511,879)	-23.04%	838,868,316	(462,860,735)	-38.53%	900,472,528	(721.246,525)	-54.57
engacrypolity	1,632,197,8491	1,302,035,866	(330, 162, 184)	-20,22%	901,575,550	(730,622,290)	-44,78%	753,521,582	(878,578,257)	-53.8
icnigen	2.250,978,6221	2,292,876,029	41,897,407	1.85%	1,507,414,896	(843.363.725)	-28,59%	1,:CE.680.084	(1,142,228,538)	-50.7
linnesota	1.085,749,807	1,119,456,236	53,706,429	5.04%	749,159,263	(316,590,544)	-29.71%	588,463,625	(467,286,182)	-48.81
inamentoos	573,990,:97!	582,178,296	8,186,101	1.21%	434,757,027	(238.233,170)	-35.50%	289,004,631	(304,986,586)	-45.21
inequal	1,257,302,576	1,284,484,026	(93,339,651)	4.87%	911,823,419	(446,979,258)	-32.85%	905.512.786	(752.286.886)	-55.40
lontana	219 252 984	237.210.660	17,957,584	3.19%	183,856,122	(25,297,863)	-16.14%	139.201.997	(80,0\$1,357)	38.5
OBTRACE.	447.272.834	450,800,835	3,528,001	0.79%	298,208,165	(149,064,066)	-32,23%	239.275.080	(147,967,735)	-33.0
	317,733,1731			2.93%	298,447,596	(19,286,577)	4.07%	1	,	-14.2
ew Hamashire	28.514.5571	327,042,055	9,306,863		206,808,185	(119,706,372)	38.00%	272,482,137	(46.251,035)	
on Tolson		319,847,713	(6.886.344)	-2.04%		•		172,233,686	(154,280,866)	-17:21
	2,039,696,224	1,631,629,618	(406.065,506)	-20.01%	1,417,290,184	(672,408,039)	-30.51%	767,457,256	(1,072,241,865)	-52.5
ew Mesoco	416,798,652	393,939,706	(22,958,946)	-5.48%	271,516,493	(145,282,159)	-34.80%	222,285,923	(194,432,729)	-48,00
ew York	5,814,024,1901	1,236,233,149	(2,527,791,001)	-43.48%	2,723,728,911	(3,090,296,239)	-52.:5%	2,040,596,066	(3,773,429,096)	-84.90
orth Caroline	1.844,102,030 !	1,749,187,470	(94,914,580)	-5.15%	1,202,448,955	(641,663,079)	-34.79%	827,841,596	(1,016,250,435)	-56.1
lerth Cenots	100,733,479	177,196,333	7,461,854	4.40%	157,272,435	(12,461,545)	-7.24%	88,136,793	(81,596,667)	-48.07
itie	2,916,723,252	2,506,896,309	(109.824,043)	4 20%	1,782,974,222	(833,748,130)	-31.86%	1,173,576,865	(1,443,146,464)	-55.1
itienome	897,875,733	752,340,222	(55.535.511)	-3.87%	534,596,545	(273,279,186)	-13.67%	414,006,478	(393,269,256)	-48.7
regon	760,150,0391	708,683,486	(53,466,553)	-7 03%	503,183,070	(256,986,970)	-33.80%	283,443,766	(376,706,272)	-49.5
	2,773,617,227	2,585,827,408	(187,786,819)	-3.77%	1,793,934,936	(979.662,291)	-35,32%	1,340,802,729	(1,433,014,496)	-51.6
hade Island	223,929,757	216,174,290	(7.755.468)	-3.46%	145,143,589	(78,796,088)	-35.18%		(111,204,229)	-49.7
outh Carolina	285,470,0881	879,354,388	(107,056,200)	-10.85%	577,941,748	(406,465,340)	-41,41%	437.581.405	(546,828,683)	-35.6
outh Canota	:88,998,481	228,196,477	61,196,995	36.65%	153,498,860	(13,500,821)	-2.C8%	91,192,931	(75,806,551)	-45.3
	1,285,010,9981		(93,993,613)	-4.89%	905,556,728	(459,464,272)	33,66%	570,463,457	(884,547,541)	-50.8
	4,800,532,335		(1.293,352,010)	-26.77%	2.851.502.253	(1,979,030,083)	-40.97%	1,882,783,468	(2.948.248,848)	-61.0
	284.065.203	351,784 202	(12,301,001)	-3.28%	286,234,118	(96.631.686)	-27.:5%	228.328.873	(137,736,930)	-37.5
erment .	172.996.663		•		110,000,020	(62,806,043)	-21.13%		• •	-14.0
irane		163,401,650	(9,484,013)	-5.49%		,		35,743,286	(83,152,277)	-
~	1,553,632,0131	1,541,321,867	(152,310,125)	-3.99%	1,059,334,291	(634.297,723)	-J7.45%		(941,581,978)	-55.5
/esningen	1,286,574,0461	1,155,683,688	(220,890,378)	-16.65%	828.218,104	(500,355,942)	-40.41%	913,893,899	(772,980,147)	-55.7
/est Virginia	502,096,127	534,666,478	32,270,352	5.42%	297.296.275	(205,109,852)	-40.83%	282,509,390	(229,886,737)	-47.7
/isconsin	1,128,458,6871	1,247,277,077	108,818,290	9.56%	369,329,329	(270.132.361)	-23,73%	527 246,132	(811,212,555)	-53.6
fyoming	140,201,9681	121,519,851	(18,582,117)	-13.25%	108,594,947	(33,807,021)	-23.97%	92,132,622	(48,069,346)	-342
	í				1			i	•	
otmi	58 075 384 797 1	C7 747 667 #40	(10,308 296 625)	.15 15%	42 CR4 120 R48	125,731,715,937)	-17 21%	20 557 448 182	(38,865 513,225)	-54 1

^{*} Estimated State Access Lines times TELRIC or Hasfield average cost per line.

^{**} No TELRIC analor Hestinet proxy numbers are everlable for these states.

Local Exchange Network facilities cannot be built based on the cost results of the hypothetical FCC TELRIC, Hatfield, and BCM 2 models in many regions of the country.

If these confiscatory models are used as the cost basis for Universal Service, legitimate costs will be excluded, Universal Service funds will not have sufficient support, and quality, universally- available service at just and reasonable rates will not be possible.

VERSION 2.2, RELEASE 2 UNDERSTATEMENT OF COSTS

1. STRUCTURE FACTORS ASSIGN ONLY 33% TO TELEPHONE

The Hatfield model inappropriately includes only one-third of costs associated with poles, conduit and trenching for buried cable. This calculation takes place in the Expense Module on the "Distribution" and "Feeder" worksheets. The "Structure fraction assigned to telephone" factors are found in cells F59 - H60 on the "Inputs" worksheet. They are shown separately for distribution and feeder.

Changing these factors from .33 to 1 increases the average loop cost per month as shown on below:

	Per Lo	Total <u>Understated</u>		
	<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>	<u>Costs</u>	
Arkansas	\$ 16.12	\$19.98	\$36M	
Kansas	not yet run	-	•	
Missouri	\$13.36	\$17.30	\$97M	
Okiahoma	\$15.70	\$20.10	\$70M	
Texas	\$11.87	\$15.86	\$349M	

2. HATFIELD DEVELOPS FACTORS ON TOTAL INVESTMENT AND RELATED EXPENSES, BUT UNDERSTATES EXPENSES BY INAPPROPRIATELY APPLYING THE FACTORS TO ONLY A PORTION OF THE HATFIELD CALCULATED INVESTMENT.

Hatfield computes a maintenance factor from ARMIS data for cable and wire based on total maintenance expense and total investment by account; i.e., buried cable, underground cable, etc. Hatfield also develops factors in the "Inputs" worksheet of the Expense Module to determine the "Installation Factor", which is the percent of material cost to installed cost. When the "Network Expenses" are calculated on the individual worksheets ("Distribution", "Feeder") the total investment is first multiplied by the "Installation Factor" to develop material only costs. To develop maintenance expense, the material only costs are multiplied by the maintenance factor. This substantially understates the maintenance expenses. The proper expense development would be to apply the maintenance factors to the total investment, not just the material portion of that investment. In the case of buried cable, the expense is further understated by the .33 structure factor.

- 3. The model excludes investments related to motor vehicles and work equipment, and investments associated with plant under construction, and materials and supplies.
- 4. The model only identifies land and building costs for switching-related facilities. The model excludes necessary land and building costs (for central office circuit facilities, etc.).
- 5. The Hatfield model relies on the Benchmark Cost Model (BCM) for various elements including fill or capacity utilization. The fill factors are not realistic and can and have been utilized to understate investment in the Hatfield model. Released 2.2 of the Hatfield model used a lower fill factor than the BCM, resulting in higher investment. Finally, the model has not been updated with the latest BCM2 fill which would substantially raise investments.
- 6. The model uses a very conservative rate of return well below the authorized federal return.
- 7. The model relies on incorrect input assumptions. For instance, the model assigns entire CBG costs to one LEC, when in fact, CBGs are often served by different LECs, and costs should be split among LECs.
- 8. The model excludes marketing expenses even though these expenses are required by the Federal Act to advertise the availability of Universal Services.
- 9. The model incorrectly assumes that support costs (e.g., computers, furniture, office equipment, etc.) will decline simply because Hatfield estimates facility investments which are lower than actual investments. The model <u>lowers</u> support cost based on a percentage equal to the Hatfield estimated investment divided actual investment.

COMPETITIVE BIDDING IS INAPPROPRIATE AND UNNECESSARY

ENCOURAGES GAME PLAYING TO THE DETRIMENT OF UNIVERSAL SERVICE.

- New entrant could select to serve a few cost customers in a high cost area with facilities and provide service to others with resale.
- New entrant could bid down support based on their lower facility costs.
- Support for incumbents who serve the higher cost customers would also be inappropriately reduced.

DOES NOT COMPLY WITH THE INTENT OF FEDERAL LEGISLATION AND IS HARMFUL TO THE STATE.

- Discourages competition.
- Disincents economic development.
- Discourages comparable urban and rural services and rates.
- Discourages bringing advanced services to rural areas.

WOULD CREATE INSTABILITY IN RURAL AND HIGH COST AREAS.

- Continual changes in carrier of last resort obligations.
 - Who is customer to call?
- Insufficient support to maintain current obligations.

CREATES SUBSTANTIAL AND ADDITIONAL ADMINISTRATIVE BURDENS FOR COMPANIES AND REGULATORS.